L'Association 1901 "SEPIKE"



$\underline{\underline{S}ocial} \ \underline{\underline{E}}ducational \ \underline{\underline{P}}roject \ of \ \underline{\underline{I}}mproving$ $\underline{\underline{K}}nowledge \ in \ \underline{\underline{E}}conomics$

Journal L'Association 1901 "SEPIKE"

Ausgabe 7

Osthofen, Deutschland
Poitiers, France
Los Angeles, USA

Redaktionelle Leitung / Chief Editor:

Dr. Michael Schaefer (Germany)

Redaktion / Editorial Board:

Dr. Andrzej Gałkowski (Poland) Dr. Krasimir Spirov (Bulgaria) Prof. Dr. Ihor Halyitsia (Ukraine) Dr. Oksana Getman (Ukraine)

Dr. Ing. Karl-Heinz Stiebing (Germany)

Myriam Poitevin (France)

Ehrenmitglieder der Redaktion / Honorary Members:

Prof. Dr. Rainer Busch (USA) Prof. Dr. Philipp Rogeon (France)

Verantwortung / Responsibility:

Diese Ausgabe ist eine Sammlung von Artikeln und Werken internationaler Wissenschaftler, Professoren, Lehrkräften und Doktoranten. Die Autoren zeichnen sich für Inhalt, Übersetzung, wissenschaftliche Erkenntnisse sowie für den korrekten Nachweis von Quellen und Quellenangeben selbst verantwortlich. Der Herausgeber übernimmt keinerlei Haftung für unrichtige Angaben.

This edition is a collection of articles and works by international scientists, professors, teachers and doctoral students. The authors draw themselves responsible for the content, the translation, the scientific researches and results as well as for the correct detection of sources and source specification. The publisher assumes no liability for incorrect information.

Bibliografische Information / Bibliographic Information:

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek (Germany) sowie die Library of Congress (USA) verzeichnen diese Publikation in den jeweiligen Nationalbibliografien; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über www.sepike.com abrufbar.

The German National Library (Germany) and the Library of Congress (U.S.) run this publication in the national bibliographies; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet on www.sepike.com.

This Journal is indexed by "INDEX COPERNICUS" with an Index Factor of 5.15.

Herstellung und Verlag / Editor and Publisher:

L'Association 1901 "SEPIKE" Tempelgasse 10, 67574 Osthofen, Deutschland

Herausgeber / Publisher:

© 2013 L'Association 1901 "SEPIKE" 8, Allee de Marigny, 86000 Poitiers, France www.sepike.com

p-ISSN: 2196-9531: Journal L'Association 1901 SEPIKE

e-ISSN: 2372-7438: Journal L'Association 1901 SEPIKE, US Edition

Key Title: Journal L'Association 1901 "SEPIKE"

Poitiers, Osthofen, Los Angeles, den 31.12.2014

CONTENT

PART I: ACTUAL ISSUES IN MODERN PEDAGOGY	6
DEVELOPMENT OF RESEARCH ABILITIES AND SKILLS OF STUDENTS STUDYING IN EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS OF ACCREDITATION I-II LEVEL (Zinaida Bakum, Tatiana Babenko)	6
TEACHING ANXIETY AS AN ELEMENT THAT ACCOMPANIES THE PREPARATION OF STUDENTS AS FUTURE TEACHERS (Ornela Bilali, Florinda Tarusha)	10
REFORMING OF EDUCATION SYSTEM IN THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN IN COMPLIANCE WITH INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS (Madina Dujsemalieva)	13
ORGANISATION UND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM DER FACHHOCHSCHULE KSMA (Anatoliy Gorban)	17
DIE ALBANISCHE SPRACHE IM STROM GLOBALER KOMMUNIKATION (Monika Hasani)	20
THE CHANGES OF DISRUPTIVE BEHAVIOR DURING THE TEACHING OF FOREIGN LANGUAGES (Alma Muharremi)	24
QUALITÄTSSICHERUNG UND QUALITÄTSMANAGEMENT IM AUSBILDUNGSWESEN ZUM KAPITÄN UND LEITER VON MASCHINENANLAGEN (Inna Sklyarenko, Olga Gurenkova)	31
SOCIAL STATUS OF SCIENCE AND EDUCATION IN UNIVERSITIES OF KAZAKHSTAN (Alexandr Teslenko, Natalia Sannikova)	34
LIFELONG LEARNING: TODAY AND TOMORROW (Lidiia Tkachenko)	42
THE VOCATIONAL LEARNING FOR THE UNEMPLOYED IN THE SYSTEM OF STATE EMPLOYMENT SERVICE OF UKRAINE (Olena Voliarska)	45
PART II: ACTUAL ISSUES OF MACRO- AND MICRO- ECONOMICS	49
INTERACTION MANAGEMENT OF STRATEGIC BUSINESS UNITS OF DIVERSIFIED ENTERPRISES UNDER SYNERGY (Valeriy Balan, Iryna Horbas)	49
COORDINATION OF MONETARY AND FISCAL-BUDGETARY POLICIES IN THE MECHANISM OF STABLE MONEY' ENSURING (Nadiia Barida)	55
DEVELOPMENT AND ESTIMATION OF LABOR ACTIVITY'S MOTIVATIONAL SYSTEM UNDER CRISIS CONDITIONS (Tetiana Bilorus, Iryna Kornilova)	59
CLASSIFICATION OF INNOVATIVE MECHANISM'S TYPES OF INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES (Oleg Gavrish, Alla Dunska)	63
MECHANISM OF INTERACTIONS THE LABOR MARKET AND EDUCATIONAL SERVICES IN UKRAINE (Oksana Getman, Michael Schaefer)	66
THEORETICAL AND METHODOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS OF LOGISTICAL POTENTIAL OF SUPPLY CHAIN (Volha Huliahina)	75

ICT AS AN IMPORTANT FACTOR OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN UZBEKISTAN (Aman Kenjabaev, Zilola Karimova)	78
ESTIMATION OF WEB-SITE EFFECTIVENESS ON B2B MARKET (Sergiy Lebedenko, Maryna Lebedenko)	82
SOCIALIZATION OF PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES IN UKRAINE (Alla Lobza, Adel Bykova, Valentina Kalinina)	86
DEFINITION PROBLEMS OF LOGISTICAL COSTS' ECONOMIC ESSENCE AS AN OBJECT OF ACCOUNTING (Alena Malei)	99
USING CUSTOMER LOYALTY PROGRAMS IN THE CUSTOMER-ORIENTED BANKING STRATEGY (Olga Markova)	103
SPECIFICS IN THE FORMATION OF ENTREPRENEURIAL POTENTIAL OF TURKMENISTAN IN XX-XXI CENTURY (Valeriy Moltusov, Alina Chaikina)	106
THE DISTRIBUTION CHANNELS OF ORGANIC PRODUCTS BY SMALL AGRICULTURAL ENTERPRISES (Oksana Nazarkevych)	112
WORLD PRACTICE OF ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY CONSTRUCTION: LESSONS FOR UKRAINE (Yevhen Orlovskyi)	115
THE EVALUATION OF RESPONSIBLE INVESTING OBJECTS IN THE UKRAINIAN BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT (Liudmyla Petrashko, Tetiana Romanok)	119
FINANCIAL SUPPORT OF ENTITIES ON THE RESULTS OF INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT (Olena Prokopchuk, Yulia Ulyanych, Konstantin Ulyanych)	125
DIAGNOSTICS OF THREATS OF UKRAINE'S INTELLECTUAL SAFETY (Iryna Revak)	132
PROBLEMS AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY OF THE FINANCIAL SYSTEM OF KAZAKHSTAN (Zhangelidy Shimshikov, Asylbek Baydakov, Nazgul Shamuratova, Zauresh Shaukerova, Saltanat Takenova)	137
CLUSTER-BASED THEORY IMPLEMENTATION FOR RAISING UKRAINIAN ECONOMY COMPETITIVENESS RATE (Alla Stepanova)	141
THE PRACTICE OF SUFFICIENT FOOD SAFETY IN THE USA THE WORLD'S LEADER OF FOOD EXPORT (Nadiia Stezhko)	146
PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATION OF ETALON BUSINESS MODEL IN RESTRUCTURING PROCESS OF THE FOOD INDUSTRY'S ENTERPRISES (Antonina Suhorukova, Olga Beketova)	155
INTERNATIONAL QUALITY STANDARDS AS THE TOOL FOR INCREASING THE COUNTRY'S COMPETITIVENESS (Madina Temirbulatova)	160
CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AS A BUSINESS MODEL FOR THE SUSTAINABLE TOURISM DEVELOPMENT (Silviya Topleva)	165
QUALITÄTSSICHERUNGSSYSTEM IM AUSBILDUNGSWESEN DER FACHHOCHSCHULE KSMA (Elena Tymoshuk, Faig Alishanov)	170

PECULIARITIES OF INDUSTRIAL REGIONS DEVELOPMENT IN UKRAINE (Aleksandra Vatchenko, Boris Vatchenko)	173
REGIONAL COMPETITIVENESS AS THE BASIS FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF UKRAINE (Maryna Yaremenko, Mariia Babenko)	177
FINANCIAL STABILITY AS A NECESSARY CONDITION FOR INSURING COMPETITIVENESS AND SECURITY OF INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES (Svitlana Yeletskykh)	183
NACHTRAG / POSTSCRIPTUM	188

FINANCIAL SUPPORT OF ENTITIES ON THE RESULTS OF INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT

Olena Prokopchuk, PhD in Economics, Senior Lecturer, Yulia Ulyanych, PhD in Economics, Senior Lecturer, Konstantin Ulyanych, PhD in Economics, Senior Lecturer,

Uman National University of Horticulture, Ukraine

Abstract: This article is devoted to discover the methods of integral criterion and comparison of the assets formation in regions. The authors consider the essence of the assets and their classification, accomplish the calculation of integral indices of assets backing in the Cherkassy region and identify the advantages of the method of integral analysis of regions. The advantage of proposed method of integral regional analysis is possibility to rank regions through the comparison of some calculated dimensions. Also it makes possible to assess the activity impact (assets formation) of business entities.

Keywords: assets, integral criterion, enterprise, ranking, security

INTRODUCTION

The important result of market transformation of national economy is the increasing of the role and functions of regions for ensuring the effective development of the national economy and for the expansion of the rights of regional and local government authorities in managing resources of the regions. However, most of the declared rights and responsibilities of regional government authorities to ensure the efficient use of resources of the territory remain unimplemented. The reason is a lack of market and financial instruments of resource management of specific areas based on the calculation of indicators that characterize their resource potential, which is represented in various forms of capital and assets.

Among all methods of financial analysis the most promising are the methods of integrated assessment of financial conditions of enterprises or regions, which include the synthesizing of financial indicators into complex structures. E. Lysytsyna offers the use of an integrated methodology as an alternative assessment tool of financial conditions of enterprises, which doesn't have the disadvantages of the coefficient method and the method of analyzing dynamics of the financial statement of the enterprise (E. Lysytsyna). T. Ben describes the methodology of assessment of financial conditions of enterprises according to the calculation of the integral index which is based on relevant formulas and criteria by selecting coefficients that are included into integral index (T. Ben, 2012). As a result of research methods of assessing financial condition of enterprises, M. Kozoriz offers to assess the formation of assets of business entities in the region on the basis of the index method through the calculation and comparison of integral indices that reflect the formation of circulation and fixed assets, as well as the investments in future expenses (M. Kozoriz, 2009).

However, methodological and applied issues for applying methods of integral analysis of regions are practically not analyzed and they require systematic development.

The objective of the research is to determine the practicability of the use of methods of integral criterion and comparison of formation of assets in Cherkassy region based on the current system of statistical indicators that are provided by official statistics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The theoretical and methodological basis of the research is classical economic theories of capital, costs, cost management concept and modern scientific developments of domestic scientists. Modern research methods were used to solve the set problems, including: hierarchical classification (for selection of subjects and purposes of assessing the company, investigation of economic relations between them); induction (for collecting, organizing, processing of statistical information) and deduction (for a theoretical understanding of the

problem); *integrated assessment* (for calculation of the integral ratio of assets of agricultural enterprises). Processing of information materials was carried out by using modern information technologies and applications. The information base of the research are legislative and statutory acts of Ukraine, official statistics of the Ministry of Agrarian Policy and Food of Ukraine, the State Statistics Service of Ukraine, International and National standards of enterprises' valuation; monographs and scientific publications of domestic researchers; periodical data and materials of research and practice conferences.

RESULTS

Integral criterion completes and extends the possibilities of traditional analysis and it is based on the use of existing methods of assessment and system of indices. It allows comparing the indices of different dimensions and characteristics. Integrated indices of effectiveness can be based according to different conditions of their construction. Possibilities of the development of an integral index are determined by two factors: the direction of assessment and the existing information base.

To assess the contribution of the Cherkassy region in national economic development it is necessary to determine the level of asset backing of the region (per one employee and one company) by using the method of integrated index evaluation. For this purpose we have calculated the primary integrated and general integrated indices and the method of comparison for ranking objects of the research according to their achievements, and to compare them with the average index of the region. Integrated statistic indices are based on the index method in three stages (M. Rappa, 2007).

At the first stage we have identified the individual indexes (growth rate) I_{ij} as ratio of the primary index of the region in the accounting period L_{ij} to the primary index of the region in the base period L_{i0} by formula (1), where i is the number of a region (i=1...m); j is the number of index (j=1...n):

$$I_{ij} = L_{ij} / L_{io} \tag{1}$$

The calculation of asset backing per employee is presented in *Table 1*.

According to *Table 1*, fixed assets have significantly increased during 2011-2013: in Smila, Cherkassy and Katerynopil district (in 12.01-1.27-1.43 times); circulating assets in Smila and Zolotonosha districts have increased (in 1.77-1.06 times); expenses of future periods in Horodyshche, Katerynopil and Shpola districts have increased (in 22.5-12.03-1.86 times). Assessment and comparison of the effectiveness of assets formation of business entities of the regions per one company and one employee according to their individual groups during 2011-2013 showed that fixed and circulating assets were formed actively by business entities in Smila and Smila district (almost twice more active than in average in this region) (*Table 2*).

At the second stage of integrated assets assessment backing of the region geometric mean value from the production of primary indices has been calculated using the formula (2), where I_i is primary index; I_{ij} is primary index point (*Table 3*):

$$I_{i} = \sqrt[n]{\Pi_{j-1}^{n}(1+I_{ij}) - 1}$$
(2)

In 2012 the index of assets growth of business entities in region on average was 0.4 times lower in comparison with 2013; it was 0.84. The assets of the enterprises of Chyhyryn, Kaniv, Kamyanka, Horodyshche districts increased most of all; it is caused by the growth of expenses future periods and circulating assets of enterprises. In seventeen other districts (fourteen districts and three cities) the rate of growth of assets was higher, and in six districts, on the contrary, they were lower than the average indices in the region. In average, the rate of assets growth of business entities in the region in 2013 was 1.24 in comparison with 2012.

Table 1
Growth rate of some groups of assets in Cherkassy region (per one employee)

Growth rate of some groups of assets in Cherkassy region (per one employee)															
	Fixed assets						Circulating assets				Expenses of future periods				
Districts and cities	2012/2011	2012/2013	2011/2013	according to region	rating	2012/2011	2012/2013	2011/2013	according to region	rating	2012/2011	2012/2013	2011/2013	according to region	rating
Cherkassy	1.02	1.21	1.30	1.05	4	1.27	0.89	0.70	0.37	15	1.79	1.25	0.70	0.79	11
Zolotonosha	1.1	0.91	1.00	0.08	6	1.24	0.83	0.70	0.15	15	_	_	_	_	_
Kaniv		_	1.67	0.02	3	_	_	1.49	0.07	1	_	_	2.12	2.13	3
Smila	0.22	1.06	2.66	0.03	1	1.39	0.96	0.69	0.01	16	_	_	_	_	_
Uman	1.36	0.93	0.68	0.01	18	1.16	1.15	0.10	0.01	24	_	0.63	_	_	_
Horodyshche district	1.14	0.99	0.87	0.12	8	1.30	0.85	0.65	0.02	19	0.2	1.9	4.5	0.03	1
<u>Drabiv</u> district	0.85	0.70	0.83	0.09	10	1.14	0.58	0.51	0.01	22	0.13	0.22	0.02	0.02	19
Zhashkiv															
district	1.15	0.87	0.76	0.03	13	1.22	1.26	1.03	0.02	3	1.02	1.11	1.09	0.16	6
Zvenyhorodka															
district	1.19	0.67	0.57	0.06	21	1.04	0.70	0.68	0.02	17	0.60	0.04	0.06	0.01	17
Zolotonosha															
district	1.16	0.83	0.71	0.01	15	0.86	0.79	0.91	0.06	7	1.25	0.91	0.72	0.06	10
Kamyanka district	1.29	0.89	0.69	0.13	17	1.22	1.12	0.92	0.03	6	2.64	5.51	0.21	0.01	14
Kaniv district	1.27	1.04	0.81	0.01	11	2.20	1.30	0.59	0.01	21	1.09	1.01	0.93	0.04	7
Katerynopil															
district	1.50	3.21	2.14	0.10	2	2.79	1.24	0.45	0.04	23	0.30	0.14	3.61	0.03	2
Korsun-Shev- chenkivskyi district	1.01	1.02	1.01	0.01	5	0.93	0.96	1.03	0.97	3				_	_
Lysianka district	1.19	0.48	0.40	0.07	23	1.11	0.74	0.67	0.03	18	0.67	0.19	0.28	0.14	13
Mankivka district	1.30	0.68	0.52	0.01	22	1.16	1.04	0.90	0.05	8	_	_	-	_	-
Monastyry- shche district	0.77	0.66	0.85	0.03	9	0.95	0.92	0.97	2.02	4	_	_	_	_	_
Smila district	1.07	0.67	0.63	0.02	20	0.71	0.89	1.26	2.09	2	0.40	0.30	0.74	0.03	9
Talne district	1.09	0.90	0.83	0.02	10	1.31	0.88	0.67	0.08	18	2.23	0.97	0.43	0.04	12
Uman district	1.25	1.11	0.89	0.02	7	1.20	1.03	0.86	0.07	9	0.06	0.50	0.90	0.05	8
Khrystynivka district	1.41	0.93	0.66	0.03	19	0.96	0.89	0.93	0.02	5	1.37	0.36	0.03	0.05	18
Cherkasy district	1.10	0.82	0.75	0.03	14	1.18	1.01	0.85	0.07	10	1.27	0.19	0.15	0.09	15
Chyhyryn district	1.18	0.82	0.70	0.12	16	1.34	0.84	0.63	0.05	20	2.42	0.17	0.07	0.05	16
Chornobay district	1.39	1.02	0.77	0.11	12	1.60	0.95	0.59	0.02	21	0.80	1.30	1.61	0.06	5
Shpola district	1.54	1.19	0.77	0.03	12	1.12	0.93	0.84	0.01	11	0.90	1.5	1.67	0.08	4
Average in the region	1.15	0.89	0.77	_	-	1.20	0.87	0.72	_	_	0.71	0.24	0.35	_	_

Source: According to the data (V. Pryiymak, 2013-2014)

Moreover, the most active growth of assets was observed in Katerynopil, Chyhyryn, Drabiv and Cherkassy districts. In these districts the integral index of asset growth was 2.80; 2.07; 2.66 and 2.40 correspondingly; it was caused by a noticeable growth of expenses of future periods. In nine districts the rates of growth of assets exceeded the similar average regional indicator; in other fourteen districts (eleven districts and three cities) it was lower. In Korsun-Shevchenkivskyi district, Uman districts and in Zolotonosha the productivity of the enterprises on the accumulation of assets was the lowest in comparison with other districts of Cherkassy region. This situation occurred due to the decrease of further expenses (typical for business entities in Zolotonosha and Korsun-Shevchenkivskyi district) and the decrease of the amount of circulating assets (in Zolotonosha and Uman district) and fixed assets (in Uman district).

Table 2 Growth rate of individual groups of assets of Cherkassy Region (per one enterprise)

Growin					ups	l assi				itteg					
	Fixed assets					Circulating assets				Expenses of future periods					
Districts and cities	2012/2011	2012/2013	2011/2013	according to region	rating	2012/2011	2012/2013	2011/2013	according to region	rating	2012/2011	2012/2013	2011/2013	according to region	rating
Cherkassy	0.96	1.28	1.33	1.29	11	1.20	1.38	1.15	1.96	13	1.69	1.93	1.14	2.71	8
Zolotonosha	1.06	1.64	1.56	0.01	4	1.25	1.52	1.00	0.04	18	_	_	_	-	_
Kaniv	-	-	2.74	2.04	2	-	-	1.72	1.97	2	_	_	1.33	1.64	6
Smila	0.02	1.65	4.66	0.34	1	1.31	1.49	1.13	0.30	14	_	_	_	_	
Uman	1.28	1.43	1.12	0.18	18	1.01	1.79	1.63	0.04	5	_	1.1	_	_	-
Horodyshche															
district	1.07	1.54	1.43	1.54	6	1.23	1.34	1.09	2.24	16	1.7	1.35	2.00	1.23	2
<u>Drabiv</u>															
district	0.80	1,09	1.36	2.43	9	1.07	0.90	0.84	1.83	20	1.00	0.33	0.03	1.99	15
Zhashkiv															
district	1.08	1.35	1.25	3.68	12	1.15	1.94	1.68	2.95	4	0.96	1.72	1.78	1.09	3
Zvenyhorodka		1.04	0.02		2.1	0.00	1.00	1.10	1.70		1.50	2.00	1.00	2.12	1.0
district	1.12	1.04	0.93	1.51	21	0.98	1.08	1.10	1.70	15	1.50	3.00	1.00	2.12	16
Zolotonosha district	1.10	1.28	1.17	1.73	15	0.81	1.22	1.51	3.9	8	1.17	1.38	1.18	1.09	7
Kamyanka	1.10	1.20	1.1/	1./3	13	0.81	1.22	1.31	3.9	0	1.1/	1.36	1.10	1.09	/
district	1.22	1.37	1.13	1.67	17	1.15	1.74	1.51	1.00	8	2.52	1.85	0.34	1.38	11
Kaniv district	1.22	1.60	1.13	1.74	10	2.08	2.02	0.97	2.10	19	1.02	1.59	1.56	0.80	4
Katerynopil	1.20	1.00	1.54	1./4	10	2.00	2.02	0.97	2.10	19	1.02	1.39	1.50	0.80	4
district	1.42	0.68	0.48	1.28	24	2.63	1.38	0.53	1.45	21	0.01	0.33	1.49	0.95	5
Korsun-Shev-	1.12	0.00	0.10	1.20		2.03	1.50	0.00	1.15		0.01	0.55	1.12	0.75	
chenkivskyi															
district	0.95	1.58	1.65	0.89	3	0.88	1.49	1.70	1.58	3	_	_	_	_	_
Lysianka															
district	1.12	0.74	0.66	1.80	23	1.04	1.15	1.10	1.04	15	0.57	0.03	0.01	0.16	16
Mankivka															
district	1.23	1.04	0.85	1.03	22	1.10	1.61	1.47	1.79	9	_	_	_	_	_
Monastyrys															
hche district	0.73	1.01	1.39	2.36	7	0.90	1.42	1.59	3.28	6	_	_	_	_	_
Smila district	1,01	1.04	1.03	2.26	20	0.64	1.32	2.06	2.05	1	0.67	0.67	1.00	0.12	9
Talne district	1.02	1.40	1.37	2.24	8	1.23	1.36	1.10	2.85	15	1.67	1.25	0.75	0.14	10
Uman district	1.18	1.72	1.45	2.66	5	1.13	1.60	1.41	2.57	10	0.04	0.60	1.49	0.20	5
Khrystynivka	1.24	1 44	1.00	0.51	10	0.00	1.20	1.50	1.00	_	1.05	0.56	0.04	0.17	1.4
district	1.34	1.44	1.08	2.51	19	0.90	1.38	1.53	1.26	7	1.25	0.56	0.04	0.17	14
Cherkasy	1.03	1 20	1.24	3.47	14	1 11	1.56	1 40	2.51	11	1.50	0.22	0.22	0.21	12
Chyhyryn	1.03	1.28	1.24	3.47	14	1.11	1.30	1.40	2.51	11	1.50	0.33	0.22	0.31	12
district	1.11	1.27	1.15	1.29	16	1.26	1.30	1.03	1.34	17	2.4	0.27	0.11	0.17	13
Chornobay	1.11	1.4/	1.13	1.29	10	1.20	1.30	1.03	1.34	1 /	۷,4	0.47	0.11	0.17	13
district	1.26	1.58	1.26	1.40	13	1.51	1.47	0.97	1.04	19	0.69	1.82	2.64	0.22	1
Shpola	1.20	1.50	1.20	1.70	1.0	1.51	1.7/	0.71	1.04	1)	0.07	1.02	2.07	0.22	1
district	1.45	1.83	1.26	3.51	13	1.05	1.44	1.37	1.64	12	1.00	2.00	2.00	0.29	2
Average in		2.00												2.2/	
the region	1.09	1.37	1.26	3.88	_	1.13	1.35	1.19	2.06	_	0.66	0.38	0.57	0.56	-
~				•								•	•		

Source: According to the data (V. Pryiymak, 2013-2014)

At the third stage an integral advance index as the production of integrated indices, obtained during the period of research, has been calculated by formula (3), where I_{iz} is general integral tempo index of assets of regional business entities over the period of the research; Z is a number of years for determining of general integral tempo index:

$$I_{iz} = II_{i-1}^z I \tag{3}$$

During the 2012-2013 the assets of business entities of Cherkassy region increased in comparison with assets in 2011 in 1.05 times (*Table 4*).

Table 3

Integral criterion of assets increasing of Cherkassy Region

integral Criterion of assets increasing of Cherkassy Region									
- ·		e rate of assets gro	Integral	According to	Rating				
Districts		the region 2013/2	advance	average index					
and cities	Fixed assets	Circulating	Expenses of	index	in the region	111111111111111111111111111111111111111			
		assets	future periods		· ·				
Cherkassy	1.23	1.14	0.81	1.07	0.86	13			
Zolotonosha	0.93	0.12	_	0.33	0.27	21			
Kaniv	_	_	_	_	_	-			
Smila	0.95	1.06	_	1.00	0.81	14			
Uman	1.10	0.88	1.50	1.20	0.97	11			
Horodyshche district	1.02	1.20	0.54	0.81	0.65	19			
<u>Drabiv</u> district	1.44	1.74	2.83	2.66	1.66	3			
Zhashkiv district	1.16	0.81	0.92	0.93	0.75	15			
Zvenyhorodka district	1.51	1.46	0.03	0.26	0.21	22			
Zolotonosha district	1.23	1.29	1.14	1.34	1.08	7			
Kamyanka district	1.14	0.90	0.18	0.43	0.35	20			
Kaniv district	0.98	0.78	1.00	0.87	0.70	17			
Katerynopil district	2.33	1.14	2.96	2.80	2.26	1			
Korsun-Shevchenkivskyi									
district	0.11	1.06	-	0.34	0.27	21			
Lysianka district	2.11	1.37	0.53	1.24	1.00	9			
Mankivka district	1.50	0.97	_	1.21	0.98	10			
Monastyryshche district	1.55	1.10	_	1.31	1.06	8			
Smila district	1.51	1.14	3.36	2.40	1.94	2			
Talne district	1.12	1.16	1.05	1.17	0.94	12			
Uman district	0.91	0.99	2.89	1.61	1.30	6			
Khrystynivka district	1.10	1.14	2.80	1.87	1.51	5			
Cherkasy district	1.23	1.01	0.54	0.82	0.66	18			
Chyhyryn district	1.23	1.21	2.87	2.07	1.67	4			
Chornobay district	0.99	1.07	0.78	0.91	0.73	16			
Shpola district	0.86	1.09	0.68	0.80	0.65	19			
Average in the region	1.15	1.17	1.14	1.24	1.00	_			

Source: According to the data (V. Pryiymak, 2013-2014)

Table 4

Integral criterion of assets increasing of Cherkassy Region

Districts and sixing	Integral in	dex of assets in	creasing in:	According to average	Datina	
Districts and cities	2012	2013	2012-2013	index in the region	Rating	
Cherkassy	1.34	1.07	1.43	1.36	5	
Zolotonosha	1.07	0.33	0.35	0.33	20	
Kaniv	-	-	-	-		
Smila	-	1.00	-	-		
Uman	1.50	1.20	1.80	1.71	4	
Horodyshche district	1.52	0.81	1.23	1.17	10	
Drabiv district	1.23	2.66	3.27	3.11	2	
Zhashkiv district	1.05	0.93	0.98	0.93	13	
Zvenyhorodka district	1.62	0.26	0.42	0.40	19	
Zolotonosha district	0.99	1.34	1.33	1.27	8	
Kamyanka district	1.79	0.43	0.77	0.73	17	
Kaniv district	1.54	0.87	1.34	1.28	7	
Katerynopil district	0.33	2.80	0.92	0.88	15	
Korsun-Shevchenkivskyi district	0.89	0.34	0.30	0.29	21	
Lysianka district	0.77	1.24	0.95	0.90	14	
Mankivka district	1.13	1.21	1.37	1.30	6	
Monastyryshche district	0.79	1.31	1.03	0.98	12	
Smila district	0.55	2.40	1.32	1.26	9	
Talne district	0.50	1.17	0.59	0.56	18	
Uman district	0.22	1.61	0.35	0.33	20	
Khrystynivka district	1.18	1.87	2.21	2.10	3	
Cherkasy district	1.11	0.82	0.91	0.87	16	
Chyhyryn district	1.73	2.07	3.58	3.41	1	
Chornobay district	1.16	0.91	1.06	1.01	11	
Shpola district	1.65	0.80	1.32	1.26	9	
On average in the region	0.85	1.24	1.05	1.00		

Source: According to the data (V. Pryiymak, 2013-2014)

The leader in assets increasing is Chyhyryn district as business entities in this district increased their assets in 3.58 times in comparison with 2011 it is in 3.41 times more effective than on average in the region.

According to the criterion of assets backing the districts of Cherkassy Region can be ranked into three groups.

The first group includes districts where assets backing were higher in comparison with the average one in the region and there was a tendency for assets increasing in those districts. The districts and cities-leaders in assets backing of business entities are such cities as Kaniv, Smila, Uman, Horodyshche district and others.

The second group includes districts where assets backing were lower in comparison with the average one in the region or they were equal: in Korsun-Shevchenkivskyi, Lysianka and Mankivka districts, etc.

The third group includes districts where assets backing were lower in comparison with the average one in the region (more than 25%); these districts are the ones with low assets backing, e.g. Cherkassy, Chyhyryn, Chornobay and Shpola districts (*Table 5*).

Table 5
Ranking of district in Cherkassy Region according to the integral indices

The activity of the formation Districts and cities Integral index of assets increasing of assets of districts of Cherkassy Region Kaniv 3.58 Smila 3.27 Uman 2.21 Horodyshche district 1.8 **Drabiv** district 1.43 Zhashkiv district 1.37 High Zvenyhorodka district 1.34 Zolotonosha district 1.33 Kamyanka district 1.32 Kaniv district 1.32 Katerynopil district 1.23 Korsun-Shevchenkivskyi district 1.06 Lysianka district 1.03 Mankivka district 0.98 Monastyryshche district 0.95 Medium Smila district 0.92 Talne district 0.91 Uman district 0.77 Khrystynivka district 0.59 Cherkassy district 0.42 Chyhyryn district 0.35 Low 0.35 Chornobay district 0.30 Shpola district

Source: According to the data (V. Pryiymak, 2013-2014)

Research of the assets backing of business entities showed that almost all districts and cities of Cherkassy region are well backed with assets and they are included in the first group of s assets backing, because in 2013 the integral index of assets backing was from 3.58 (Kaniv) to 1.06 (Korsun-Shevchenkivskyi district) in comparison with the average one in the region.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Thus, the advantage of the integral analysis method of regions is possibility to rank the subjects (regions) of the research through the comparison of some calculated dimensions. Also it makes possible to assess the impact of activity (assets formation) of business entities. The results of the research allow to assess and compare the impact of the assets formation of business entities during the year or during a longer period in general, and according to some groups and to compare them with similar average indices in the region.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ben, T.G. and Dovban, S.B. (2012), Integral assessment of enterprise's financial, *Finansy Ukrayiny*, No. 6, pp. 53-61.
- 2. Dolishnij, M.I. (2006), *Regional'na polityka na rubezhi XX-XXI stolit': novi priorytety* [Regional policy on the border of XX-XXI centuries: new priorities], Naukova dumka, Kyiv, Ukraine, 511 p.
- 3. Kozoriz, M.A. (2009), *Oblik ta otsinka rivnya kapitalizatsii aktyviv regionu* [Counting and assessment of capitalization level of regional assets], methodical guidelines, Edited by M.A. Kozoriz; In-t region. doslidzh NAN Ukrayiny, Lvov, Ukraine, pp. 58-69.
- 4. *Korotkyi statystychnyi dovidnyk: Cherkashhyna u tsyfrax 2013* [Short statistic Enchiridion: Cherkassy region in numbers] (2014), Derzhavnyi komitet statystyky Ukrayiny, Edited by V.P. Pryjmak, Cherkassy, Ukraine, 106 p.
- 5. Lisitsina, E.V. (2001), Statistic approach to the coefficient method in the financial express-analysis of enterprise, *Finansovyi menedzhment*, No. 1, Available at: http://www.finman.ru/articles/2001/1/551.html.
- 6. Pahomov, A.V. (2002), Some methods of evaluation of financial and economic state of the enterprise, *Ekonomiko-matematicheskie metodi*, Vol. 38, No. 1, pp. 57-65.
- 7. Regional'nyi rozvytok Cherkas'koyi oblasti za 2012 rik: Statystychnyi zbirnyk, [Regional development of Cherkassy region in 2012: Statistic Collection] (2013), Derzhavnyi komitet statystyky Ukrayiny, Edited by V.P. Pryjmak, Cherkassy, Ukraine, 308 p.
- 8. Statystychnyi shhorichnyk Cherkas'koyi oblasti za 2012 rik [Statistic Yearbook of Cherkassy region in 2012] (2013), Derzhavnyi komitet statystyky Ukrayiny, Edited by V.P. Pryjmak, Cherkassy, Ukraine, 545 p.
- 9. Sil's'ke gospodarstvo Cherkashhyny 2012: statystychnyi zbirnyk [Agricultural sector of Cherkassy region 2012: Statistic Collection] (2013), Derzhavnyi komitet statystyky Ukrayiny, Edited by V.P. Pryjmak, Cherkassy, Ukraine, 36 p.
- 10. Rappa, M. (2007), Business Models on the Web: Managing the Digital Enterprise, *Institute for Advanced Analytics*, North Carolina State University, U.S., Available at: http://digitalenterprise.org/models/models.html.